

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	· ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,913	11/21/2003	John M. McBean	MIT-152AUS	2397
22494	7590 07/12/2005		EXAMINER	
DALY, CROWLEY, MOFFORD & DURKEE, LLP SUITE 301A			BROWN, MICHAEL A	
354A TURNPIKE STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CANTON, MA 02021-2714			3764	-

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			5)2			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/718,913	MCBEAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Brown	3764				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	Idress			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
<i>7</i> —	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under t	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	·				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	•	• •			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer, Note the attached Office	ACTION OF TORM P	10-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document		inn Na				
2. Certified copies of the priority document3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	• •	·	Stane			
application from the International Burea	·	ca iii tiiis rational	Giago			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ed.				
Attachment(s)			•			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)(Mail Date Paper No(s)(Mail Date)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other		0-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 10-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rahman '259 in view of Scanlon, along with Beard '296.

Rahman discloses in figure 3 a powered orthotic device, substantially as claimed. However, Rahman doesn't disclose the sensors inside of the strap or a first and second strap. Scanlon teaches in figure 10 a monitoring device comprising first and second straps 104 and a sensor 12 on the inside of the straps. Beard teaches in figures 1-5 a powered orthotic comprising a power source 12, a cable 3 and a muscle sensing means 43. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made that the sensor disclosed by Rahman could be inside of the first and second straps as taught by Scanlon in order to locate the sensor adjacent to a muscle. The device disclosed by Rahman could be operated by the power source as taught by Beard. The sensor as taught by Beard could be substituted for the sensor disclosed by Rahman in order to be able to senses muscle movement. The control as taught by Beard could be used to lock the device in place.

Claims 2-5, 7 and 9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claims above, and further in view of Petrofsky.

Petrofsky teaches in figure 15 a computer controlled hydraulic resistance device comprising a sensing and control in a closed loop manner (col. 10, lines 10-15), a hydraulic actuator 211, a mean 200, for receiving a sensor signal 2 and for scaling the sensor signal and the closed loop circuit 207, controls the amount of force (the valve 210 controls the amount of force applied to the actuator). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made that the sensing and closed loop circuit as taught by Petrofsky could be incorporated into the orthotic device by Rahman in order to use the closed loop circuit to control the amount of pressure that is applied to the actuator. Thus, making it possible to control the movement of the orthotic. Petrofsky also teaches a control means 200 that includes a means 204 for making low impedance measurements of output torque. Rahman discloses attaching the orthotic to a wheelchair (col. 1, lines 35-37).

Page 3

Claims 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claims above, and further in view of Scorvo. Scorvo teaches an orthotic brace comprising an actuator 300 that is pneumatic. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made that the actuator disclosed by Rahman could be pneumatic as taught by Scorvo because pneumatic and hydraulic actuators are interchangeable. It is old and well known that an actuator can be electric.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Reinbodl discloses an apparatus for isometric exercise.

Art Unit: 3764

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Brown whose telephone number is 571-272-4972. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 am-4:00 pm Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gergory Huson can be reached on 571-272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Brown July 8, 2005

> MICHAEL A. BROWN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Michael 4. Br